

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,477		07/18/2002	Andrew E. Fano	3383600003 9683	
30498	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
ACCENTU	JRE		NGUYEN, CINDY		
C/O VEDD	ER PRICE	E KAUFMAN & KA	MMHOLZ, P.C.		B. BEB. W. I. I. B. B.
222 NORTH	I LASAL	LE STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60601				2161	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/064,477	FANO, ANDREW E.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cindy Nguyen	2161	

Before the filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Cindy Nguyen	2161							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
 THE REPLY FILED <u>03/28/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC									
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compaction following time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 708.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	,								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	· (· · · · - · - · /·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•						
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:		` ,							
									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments gould require further consideration and search, specially as a media capture device that captures the subject in a media file and separately receives the beacon signal from the media indexing beacon....

FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER